

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,454	02/10/2004	Takao Saito	HOS:003	9153	
27890 STEPTOE & J	7590 08/02/200 OHNSON LLP	EXAMINER			
1330 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, N.	TUROCY, DAVID P			
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			10/774,454		SAITO ET AL.		
			Examiner	1	Art Unit		
	·		David Turocy		1762	,	
The N Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this commun	ication appea	ars on the cover sheet	with the co	orrespondence ad	idress	
A SHORTEN WHICHEVER - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) MG - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receives	IED STATUTORY PERIOD F R IS LONGER, FROM THE N me may be available under the provisions DNTHS from the mailing date of this comr reply is specified above, the maximum st within the set or extended period for reply yed by the Office later than three months erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUI (a). In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) M ause the application to become	NICATION of a reply be time fONTHS from to ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>26 <i>Jun</i></u>	<u>e 2007</u> .				
2a)☐ This ad	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed	in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex</i>	parte Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of C	Claims						
4a) Of 6 5) ☐ Claim(6) ☑ Claim(7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the a the above claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are s) is/are allowed. s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restrict	withdrawn fro				·	
Application Pap	pers						
10) The dra Applica Replace	ecification is objected to by the awing(s) filed on is/are and int may not request that any objected the or declaration is objected the control of the	: a) ☐ accepection to the dr g the correction	rawing(s) be held in abey n is required if the drawi	yance. See ing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 3	5·U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)			_				
2) Notice of Draf 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) disperson's Patent Drawing Review (isclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date <u>2/10</u> /2004	PTO-948)	Paper N				

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-4 in the reply filed on 6/26/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search of one group necessarily encompasses the other claims. This is not found persuasive because claims 5-7 are directed to product claims and therefore the thin film can be produced by various other methods, those not encompassed by claim 1.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Application/Control Number: 10/774,454

Art Unit: 1762

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of copending Application No. 11/194619. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-4 of application 11/194619 teach or make obvious all the limitations of the claimed invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 11-12735 by Yara et al, hereinafter Yara.

Claim 1: Yara discloses a method of producing a thin film using opposing electrodes by applying a pulse voltage to opposing electrodes under a pressure within the claimed range and under an atmosphere comprising a gaseous raw material including a carbon source to generate discharge plasma so that a thin film is formed on

Application/Control Number: 10/774,454

Art Unit: 1762

a substrate. (see for example abstract, paragraphs 0008-0013, figures). The pulse has a duration within the range as claimed (0011).

Claim 2. The pulse voltage of Yara has a pulse rise time of 1000 nsec or shorter (0010).

Claim 3. The pulse voltage of Yara has a pulse fall time of 1000 nsec or shorter (0010).

Claim 4: The film deposited by Yara is diamond like carbon (0013).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Turocy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00, No 2nd Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,454 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David Turocy/ Patent Examiner AU 1762

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER